

1 KATIE TOWNSEND (SBN 254321)  
2 ktownsend@rcfp.org  
3 REPORTERS COMMITTEE FOR  
4 FREEDOM OF THE PRESS  
5 1156 15<sup>th</sup> Street NW, Suite 1020  
6 Washington, D.C. 20005  
7 Telephone: (202) 795-9300  
8 Facsimile: (202) 795-9310

9 *Counsel for Reporters Committee for*  
10 *Freedom of the Press and 18 Media*  
11 *Organizations*

12 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
13 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

14 EPIC GAMES, INC.,

15 *Plaintiff/Counter-Defendant,*

16 v.

17 APPLE INC.,

18 *Defendant/Counter-Claimant.*

Case No. 4:20-cv-05640

**UNOPPOSED ADMINISTRATIVE  
MOTION OF THE REPORTERS  
COMMITTEE FOR FREEDOM OF  
THE PRESS AND 18 MEDIA  
ORGANIZATIONS FOR TRIAL  
ACCESS**

Judge: Hon. Yvonne Gonzalez Rogers

1 The Reporters Committee for Freedom of the Press (the “Reporters  
 2 Committee”), The Associated Press, The Atlantic Monthly Group LLC, Bloomberg  
 3 L.P., The Center for Investigative Reporting (d/b/a Reveal), Dow Jones & Company,  
 4 Inc., The E.W. Scripps Company, Gannett Co., Inc., The Information, KPIX-TV,  
 5 MLex, National Journal Group LLC, National Press Club Journalism Institute, The  
 6 National Press Club, The New York Times Company, POLITICO LLC, The Seattle  
 7 Times Company, TEGNA Inc., and Vox Media, LLC (collectively, the “Media  
 8 Coalition”), by and through undersigned counsel, hereby respectfully request  
 9 access—in addition to telephonic access—for members of the news media to the  
 10 bench trial scheduled to commence in the above-captioned matter on May 3, 2021.<sup>1</sup>

11 Specifically, the Media Coalition requests the following: (i) the designation of  
 12 an overflow room within the Ronald V. Dellums Federal Building & United States  
 13 Courthouse where a designated number of pre-authorized members of the news  
 14 media can view the proceedings via closed-circuit broadcast and/or the designation of  
 15 at least one seat in the courtroom for a pre-authorized pool reporter; and/or (ii) press  
 16 and public access to the proceedings via Zoom. Further, the Media Coalition requests  
 17 an order providing for the electronic distribution of exhibits used at trial as—or soon  
 18 as practicable after—those exhibits are entered into evidence.

19 The trial in the above-captioned case, which is scheduled to begin May 3,  
 20 2021, is a matter of significant public interest. Indeed, it has already generated  
 21 considerable news media coverage. *See, e.g.,* Emily Birnbaum and Alexandra S.  
 22 Levine, *Apple and Epic Draw Battle Lines*, POLITICO (Apr. 9, 2021),  
 23 \_\_\_\_\_

24 <sup>1</sup> As set forth in more detail in the concurrently filed Declaration of Katie Townsend,  
 25 neither Epic Games, Inc. nor Apple Inc. opposes this Motion. Theodore J. Boutrous,  
 26 Jr. of the law firm of Gibson Dunn & Crutcher LLP—one of the attorneys  
 27 representing Defendant/Counter-Claimant in this case—is a member of the Reporters  
 28 Committee’s Steering Committee. Undersigned counsel represents that, consistent  
 with the Reporters Committee’s ordinary practices, Mr. Boutrous has had no role on  
 behalf of the Media Coalition in connection with this Motion.

1 <https://perma.cc/YG87-XX6U>; Russell Brandom, *Three Questions that Will Decide*  
 2 *Epic v. Apple*, The Verge (Apr. 8, 2021), <https://bit.ly/3a9OZXX>. And, but for the  
 3 ongoing COVID-19 pandemic, members of the news media would be able to attend  
 4 and cover the trial in person.

5 The COVID-19 safety protocols in the Northern District of California restrict  
 6 access to the courthouse to only those “persons who have been authorized by a judge  
 7 or the Clerk of Court”; those protocols state that “[m]embers of the press and public  
 8 may access proceedings by teleconference or videoconference only.” Safety  
 9 Protocols for Northern District of California Courthouses (Updated October 1, 2020),  
 10 U.S. District Court Northern District of California, <https://perma.cc/9XAN-XJJ3>.<sup>2</sup>

11 While the Media Coalition is mindful of the health and safety reasons for  
 12 restricting access to the courthouse during the COVID-19 pandemic, such restrictions  
 13 on the public’s presumptive right to observe a civil trial must be no broader than  
 14 necessary “to preserve higher values[.]” *Courthouse News Serv. v. Planet*, 750 F.3d  
 15 776, 793 n.9 (9th Cir. 2014), and should take into account the news media’s ability to  
 16 “function as surrogates for the public.” *Richmond Newspapers v. Virginia*, 448 U.S.  
 17 555, 572–73 (1980). Indeed, “[w]hile media representatives enjoy the same right of  
 18 access as the public, they often are provided special seating and priority of entry so  
 19 that they may report what people in attendance have seen and heard.” *Id.*

20 Both public health considerations and the public’s interest in access can be  
 21 fully accommodated by pre-authorizing a designated number of journalists to enter  
 22 the courthouse and designating an overflow room in which they can observe the  
 23 proceedings via closed-circuit broadcast. If not logistically feasible, the Media  
 24 Coalition respectfully requests that the Court permit at least one designated pool

---

26 <sup>2</sup> See also N.D. Cal. General Order No. 73 (Amended May 21, 2020); Notice  
 27 Regarding Press and Public Access to Court Hearings; Information on Observing  
 28 Court Proceedings Held by Videoconference (last visited Apr. 13, 2021),  
<https://perma.cc/K33H-4LJL>.

1 reporter to attend proceedings, in person, each day of the trial.

2 In addition, or alternatively, the Media Coalition requests permission to  
3 observe the proceedings via Zoom. The Court has confirmed that it will be  
4 conducting at least portions of the trial via Zoom. *See* ECF No. 371 at 1–2; ECF 439  
5 (quoting Mar. 1, 2021 Hrg. Tr. At 14:25–15:6). And, as such, the Court may provide  
6 a non-participant link to those Zoom proceedings for use by members of the news  
7 media and public. *See, e.g.*, Clerk’s Notice Setting In-Courtroom Zoom Hearing,  
8 United States v. Nikulin, No. 16-cr-00440 (N.D. Cal. Jul. 1, 2020), ECF No. 241.

9 The Media Coalition is aware of the Court’s statements that guidance by the  
10 Administrative Office of the United States Courts indicates that it is prohibited from  
11 offering press or public access to trial proceedings via Zoom. *See* ECF 439 (“While  
12 Zoom has allowed us to provide access over and beyond what we have ever done . . .  
13 I cannot and, in fact, am not allowed to do that by the Administrative Office of the  
14 courts for purposes of evidentiary hearings, including trials.”) (quoting Mar. 1, 2021  
15 Hrg. Tr. at 15:22–16–7); ECF No. 371 at 1 (“video access is not an option for  
16 evidentiary proceedings including trials.”). However, the Media Coalition  
17 respectfully submits that the publicly available guidance from the Administrative  
18 Office does not preclude the Court from providing audiovisual access to civil  
19 evidentiary hearings or trials via Zoom.<sup>3</sup> And, in the months since that guidance was  
20

---

21 <sup>3</sup> *See* United States Courts, *Judiciary Authorizes Video/Audio Access During Covid-*  
22 *19 Pandemic* (March 31, 2020), <https://perma.cc/ANY4-4C5E> (“[The Judicial  
23 Conference] . . . approved a temporary exception to the Conference  
24 broadcast/cameras policy to allow a judge to authorize the use of teleconferencing to  
25 provide the public and media audio access to court proceedings.”). That Section  
26 15002 of the Coronavirus Aid, Relief, and Economic Security Act (the “CARES  
27 Act”) expressly addresses videoconferencing and teleconferencing for certain  
28 criminal proceedings—a necessity in light of the prohibitions found in Federal Rule  
of Criminal Procedure 53—should not be interpreted as a prohibition on the use of  
videoconferencing technology to provide public access to civil proceedings.

1 put into place, district courts conducting civil proceedings have provided press and  
 2 public audiovisual access via Zoom. *See, e.g.*, Notice Regarding Hearing via Zoom,  
 3 *Hartford Courant Company, LLC v. Carroll*, No. 3:19-cv-01951-MPS (D. Conn. July  
 4 15, 2020) (providing public Zoom link to preliminary injunction hearing); Clerk’s  
 5 Notice Setting Zoom Hearing, *Perry v. Schwarzenegger*, No. 09-cv-02292-WHO  
 6 (N.D. Cal. July 9, 2020) (providing public Zoom link to hearing).<sup>4</sup>

7 Finally, in addition, in order to facilitate the ability of members of the press to  
 8 report on the proceedings as fully as possible, the Media Coalition respectfully  
 9 requests that the Court enter an order providing for exhibits to be made electronically  
 10 available to the press as they are entered at trial, or as soon thereafter as is reasonably  
 11 possible. *See, e.g.*, Order for the Parties to Accommodate the Press, The Apple iPod  
 12 iTunes Antitrust Litigation, No. 05-cv-0037 (N.D. Cal. Dec. 4, 2014), ECF No. 951  
 13 (“To accommodate the press, counsel shall please place five copies of each admitted  
 14 exhibit on the designated table outside of Courtroom 1 after trial adjourns each day,  
 15 either in paper form or on five USB flash drives.”).

16 In sum, the Media Coalition respectfully requests the Court enter an order  
 17 providing additional access, as outlined above, for members of the news media to the  
 18 trial set to begin on May 3. Counsel for the Media Coalition is willing to work with  
 19 counsel for the parties to efficiently facilitate any such access the Court permits.  
 20  
 21  
 22

---

23 <sup>4</sup> To the extent the Court views audiovisual access via Zoom as being outside the  
 24 scope of what is permissible under emergency COVID-19 access provisions, the  
 25 Media Coalition respectfully requests that the Court consider a request for an  
 26 audiovisual livestream of the trial under the auspices of the Cameras in the  
 27 Courtroom Pilot Project. *See* Northern District of California, *Camera Pilot*  
 28 *Guidelines* (last visited Apr. 13, 2021), <https://perma.cc/2J4D-VAR9>; *see also*  
 Northern District of California, *Cameras in the Court Room* at 1.c (Revised Sept. 15,  
 2016) <https://perma.cc/H6VG-EME8>.

1 Dated: April 16, 2021

/s/ Katie Townsend

Katie Townsend

REPORTERS COMMITTEE

FOR FREEDOM OF THE PRESS

1156 15th St. NW, Ste. 1020

Washington, D.C. 20005

Telephone: (202) 795-9303

Email: ktownsend@rcfp.org

*Counsel for the Reporters*

*Committee for Freedom of the*

*Press and 18 Media*

*Organizations*